IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION No: 24577 of 2004

Between:

1 Pullamla Srinu, S/o. Bixam,
H.No. 8-1-306, Back side of Amjameyagudi,
Gollaguda, Nalgonda.
2 Saidula Bolypalli, S/o. Pichaiah,
PO Tipparty District Nalgonda
3 Gundala Shyam S/o. Ramulu,
Devarakonda Nalgonda District
4 Krishna Gundala S/o. Ramulu,
H.No.16-46, Opp. Head Post Office,
Devarakonda - 603 248, Nalgonda District.
5 Punna Balakrishna S/o. Venkataiah
Devarakonda Nalgonda District

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The A.P.S.R.T.C. rep.by its Regional Manager
Picket, Secunderabad.
2 The Govt. of A.P., rep. by its Chief Secretary, Secretariate,
Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents for not issuing call letters to the petitioners herein who are resident of Nalgonda who applied for the post of conductor, by not following the rule of reservation, and their own Regulations, contrary to the Presidential Order for not selecting the non local candidates to the extent of 30% as illegal, arbitrary, contrary, violative of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of

Constitution of India and further directing the respondents to issue call letters to the petitioners and if the petitioners come up in the selection, appiont them as conductors as per their eligibility, qualifications in pursuance of the orders of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.26182/1999 dt.08-04-2003.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.M.V.RAJA RAM

Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

O R D E R:

The petitioners approached this Court with a grievance that when they made applications for the post of Conductors, the respondents did not consider their applications on the ground that the petitioners are non-local-candidates. Therefore, requested to hold that the action of the respondents in not considering the applications of the petitioners, for the post of conductors, as illegal and arbitrary.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in a similar case covered by W.P.No.26182 of 1999, this Court passed a detailed order dated 8-4-2003, granting relief to the petitioners therein declaring that in respect of the post of conductors,

drivers and shramiks, notified by the 1st respondent-Corporation, all persons, who are the citizens of India, passing the prescribed qualifications, residing in any part of the territory of India, are eligible to be applied and the first respondent-Corporation shall not decline the consideration of their cases on the ground that they are non-local residents of a particular area in the State of Andhra Pradesh and hence the petitioners requested to pass similar order by granting relief to the petitioners as prayed for.

In the light of the judgment of this Court in W.P.No.26182 of 1999 dated 8-4-2003, the writ petition is allowed and the

1st respondent-Corporation is directed to consider the applications of the petitioners also along with the other candidates, irrespective of the fact that they are non-local candidates of Hyderabad region and take appropriate steps according to the recruitment rules.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is allowed. No costs.

25th April, 2005.

mrb

To

1. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of A.P., Secretariat,
   Hyderabad.

2. Two CD copies.